Appendix 3: Shepherds Bush Market Area Planning Brief – Market and Theatre led Regeneration, October 2010. Responses from public 'drop-in' sessions arranged on 15 and 16 October 2010 at the former Shepherds Bush Library

Hope James	Object	
General		Support the retention of the market, support the diversification of the market, and encourage further publicity of the market.
Consultation		Concern that Council are not listening to residents.
Tapio Ankrh	Object	
Loss of Market		The proposal will result in the destruction of the Market. There will be a loss of history if the market is destroyed. All the antique shops, vintage clothing stalls will go.
Food Stalls		There is currently a lack of food stalls and hot food take away outlets.
Regeneration		The owners are not passionate about regeneration
Textile Shops		Why cant more be done to link with London School of Fashion
Range of Shops		A range of higher end products should be on offer
Hostels		Too many hostels
Laura Sakstein	Support with Suggestions	
General	ouggestions	Overall in support.
Size of Market		The Market should be smaller with less stalls, at the moment a lot of the Traders sell the same thing – there is no diversity of goods for sale, which is desperately needed.
Residential Uses		The proposed residential uses are supported.
Draw Card for Customers		The Market proposal scheme needs a crèche / health centre / or something along these lines to draw people to the area and in particular the Market.
Theresa Newcombe	Support with	
Discrimination	Suggestions	The Market does not cater for everybody or for all ages.
Pennard Rd		Concerned about works on Pennard Road.
Market		There should be more variety and diversity of stalls in

		the Market.
Cleaner		The area in general needs to be cleaner.
Antiques Market		Use of Shepherds Bush Library as an indoor antiques market?
Old Library		Support the use of the old library as theatre.
Local Resident	Object	
Car Parking		Parking should be provided for traders.
Local Resident	Object	
Land Ownership		Concern about the TfL owners not participating in the development proposals.
Allotment Gardens		Site should be used as allotment gardens.
Rent		Concerned about the impact that redevelopment will have on rents charged.
Market		Does not want any change to market.
Character of Market		The Market's character should be retained. Do not want to have another Westfield complex.
Tony Rogers	Support	
General		I'm a part owner (freehold) of 11 SBM. Would like to see proposals to include this site.
Demolition of 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		This damaged building is in need of redevelopment.
Market		Would like to see Market retained and improved.
Barry Mills	Support	
Opening Hours		The Market should have proper opening hours.
Car Parking		The Market should have more car parking.
Market Diversity		Range of products sold in current market is poor. Increased range needed.
Policing		The Market is not currently policed properly, improved security is needed.
Restaurants		There is currently a poor range of hot food/take-out facilities. The new Market should provide more variety.
Management of Market		The new market requires proper market management for

		stall holders.
Mr M Allbutt	Object	
WIT WI Allbutt	Object	
Demolition of 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		Concerned about demolition of Goldhawk Road properties but has submitted written representations.
General		Keen to see improvements to market area and refurbishment of the street frontages.
Car Parking		Does not support increased parking in the area.
Anoop Singh	Support with Suggestions	
General	33	Would like to see better market facilities and an improved market for traders and visitors.
Rent and Service Charges		Rent/service charges should stay the same.
Car Parking		Additional parking facilities are needed.
Market Traders Leases		Market Stall Leases should be protected.
New Shops		Support the variety and diversity that new shops bring.
Helen Parker	Object	
Market		Concerned that the Market's character will be lost.
Demolition of 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		Object to loss of Goldhawk Road buildings
General		Support investment in market
General		Should not be another Westfield.
Peter Coffey	Support	
Better Market		Would like a new and better market that has more variety of goods.
Marjut Sallinen	Sitting on the Fence	
Construction Process	ine rence	Concerned about the livelihood of the Market Traders during the construction process.
Rents		Concerned that the Market Traders will not be able to afford the rents in the new Market.
Annabel	Supporting	
General		Necessary to regenerate Market to maintain its competition with Westfield.

Diverse Market		Want a more diverse market that attracts younger people. Want a stall for "Churches Together", to raise money for this group.
Coll McDonell	Object	
Demolition of 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		Wants the terrace retained and refurbished, does not want them to be demolished. Just because something is in decline, doesn't mean it should be demolished.
Loss of Shops Within 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		Does not want these shops to be removed, would like them to be retained.
Gill Curry	Object	
Demolition of 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		Would prefer them to be retained if at all possible, however concerned that it would be too costly to do so. If it is demolished then would desire a like for like replacement in the traditional style.
Future Market Stalls		Want them to be uniform in style and character. Do not like the current tatty look. Would like the diversity of the Market to be retained.
Road in the Market		Surface should be upgraded to improve the appearance and accessibility.
Franzen	Object	
Traffic		Not keen on the proposed residential or offices based on the fact that it will generate more traffic.
Laith Alkargooli	Object	
General		Don't agree with proposal and do not want it to take place.
Elaine Shepherd	Object	
Consultation		Everyone would like a proper consultation, which acknowledges the points they have made. We would like a public meeting.
Ravi Groven	Object	
General		No regeneration should take place
Ravi Groven	Object	
General		No regeneration should take place
Mandric Donagh	Object	

Public Meeting		We want a public meeting of all interested parties.
Ranjit Singh	Object	
General		We do not want this regeneration, we are happy as is.
Minesh Kotak	Object	
General		We do not want this regeneration, we are happy as is.
Harinder Singh Arora	Object	
General		We do not want this regeneration, we are happy as is.
Robbie Maphuant	Object	
General		What is our future, will we go back to the same locations, and will our tenancy be secured?
General		What does business continuation fund mean?
Rents		What will the new rents be?
General		What happens if I go out of business, because my new location is not as profitable as my current location?
General		I carry £10k worth of stock, making big commitments to manufacturers, what happens if I'm relocated during this process?
General		95% of Traders do not want this.
Tom Kotak	Object	
General		The majority want refurbishment, not redevelopment.
Rent / Rates		Want rents and rates clarified, will they be affected?
General		What is meant by the business community fund?
Kimikaloa De Castillo	Object	
Consultation		Poor public consultation has taken place.
General		There is no clear plan for what exactly is taking place.
General		Would like the following: public toilets, fix the chains, fix the utilities, fix the walkways, fix the signage.
Ravinder Singh	Object	
General		Completely disagree, we don't need this and are happy as is.

Susan Joanhill	Object	
General		Approve the Market development, but don't want my flat knocked down.
V Gojhan	Support	
General		Proposal should make the area safer like it used to be.
General		The Market should not be extended.
Additional Market Businesses		Additional businesses should not be brought into the Market.
Public toilets		Would like new public toilets.
Car Parking		Do not want a new car park, but would like pay and display street parking increased from 1 hour to 2 hours in Zone G.
Market Road and Path		Do not want a new Market road or path.
Landlord		Does not want a new landlord.
Rents, Rates Service Charges		Do not want higher rents, rates or service charges.
Temporary Re- Allocation		Do not want to be temporarily re-allocated.
Permanent Re- Allocation		Do not want to be permanently re-allocated.
Shape of Market		Do not want the shape of the Market to alter.
Market Advertising		Do not want advertising of the Market on the bridges.
General		Prefer for the Market to be refurbished instead of regenerated.
Ms Lopez	Object	
Consultation		Not a democratic process.
Lime Grove		Lime Grove resident has had lots of problems.
Market		Market could be improved but not regenerated.
Keith Gould	Object	
General		This does not represent the wishes of residents or traders. Do not want the Market to be redeveloped.

	1	T 7
Demolition of 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		Want the terrace to be retained
Hostel in Lime Grove		Lime Grove residents do not want a hostel here.
Car Parking		Would like a car park in the Market.
Market Improvements		Would like to see improved toilets, lighting and drainage.
Ainhoa Acosta	Object	
Shops Within 30-52 Goldhawk Rd		Don't want these shops taken away.
Market Development		Don't want a redeveloped Market.
General		A lot of the information produced has been confusing. The residents and Traders interest should be put first.
David Wilson	Object	
Consultation		The Council is not listening to what people are saying. There should be a public meeting.
Liviu Tipurita	Object	
Consultation		Our views have not been taken into account, there should be a public meeting.
Mauseet Kaur Vizlic	Object	
General		Don't want regeneration.
Farzana Tejani	Object	
Consultation		Poor consultation has taken place, the views of the consultees are being ignored. There should be a public meeting.
Lime Grove Hostel		The Market Lane hostel should not be moved to the Lime Grove hostel.
Tapio Ankrh	Object	
General		Want the historic character of the Market retained, there should be vintage clothes and antiques.
Hostels		There are too many hostels
Ibrar Dar	Object	
General		The Market's vibrancy should be preserved. Concerned that with regeneration this would be lost and we would

	ı	
		have a development similar to Westfield.
General		The Council needs to do something to attract people to the Market instead of Westfield. Create a brand for the Market, more squares, places to site, perhaps an annual festival.
Rent		Concern that a new Market would price some Traders out the area.
<b>Marie Wynter</b> General	Object	The regeneration of the Market is fine in theory, however the plans at the moment are too vague to comment upon.
Market Diversity		Would like the Diversity to be retained, don't want it replaced with a bland farmers market that most of the local population could not afford to buy produce from. The Market should be for local people not Westfield shoppers. The Market's unique qualities should not be destroyed in favour of bland consumerism.
Market's Street		Would like to see this street widened, to make it easier for pedestrians to navigate their way around it. The covered walkway could do with an upgrade and possibly a new roof.
Amaua Lopez Consultation	Object	A proper consultation should take place, our views are not being listened to.
Hostels		Do not want the Broadway hostels to move to the Lime Grove hostel.
Richard Temple	Support with Suggestions	
General	cuggeonene	The regeneration proposal is excellent news and exactly what residents and Traders have been waiting for. The Market needs to be visibly attractive, busy, vibrant and brightly coloured rather than the scruff, cluttered hotch-potch at the moment
New Design		There should be design rules for stalls and shops that allows creative freedom, but at the same time keeps a recognisable theme and character to everything.
Creative Industries		Would like to see small fashion design stalls, jewellery designers, book stalls and artists. This would bring a complementary alternative to Westfield. Why not encourage students at the nearby fashion college to start new business in the Market? The theatre would bring the right kind of customers for these trades.